Evaminer Initiated Interview Summa		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	201	10/019,832	NAGASU ET AL.	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Juliet C. Switzer	1634	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on:	
(1) Juliet C. Switzer.		(3)		
(2) <u>Mark Sandbaken</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 May 2005		Time: morning		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. Th eparate	ne examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the s of the interview, since the	substance
		·.		
	<u>.</u>			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applican	t/Applicant's Represent	itive Signature – if appropri	ate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner's amendment was suggested and approved by Mr. Sandbaken in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment corrects the inadvertedent use of the word "probe" and deletes reference to a primer optionally linked to vector nucleic acid in order to clarify the claims.